

PMGlobal Project Governance & Controls Symposium

6-7 May 2014
Canberra

Platinum Sponsor



UNSW
AUSTRALIA

Canberra

COMMERCIAL SECTOR EVM IMPLEMENTATION IN EUROPE

CASE STUDY

Ing. Stephan Vandevoorde
Manager Airport Systems, CofelyFabricom
Director, EVM Europe Association

Cofely Fabricom, a GDF SUEZ Company

2



GDF SUEZ

GDF SUEZ

ENERGY SERVICES

COFELY FABRICOM

GDF SUEZ

....active in most of today's key sectors

3



ENERGY

High Voltage
Distribution networks
Power Plants
Nuclear
Renewable
Waste to Energy
Maintenance Services



INDUSTRY

E&I
P&M
Process Solutions
Maintenance &
Specialized Services



INFRA STRUCTURE

High Voltage
Distribution networks
Underground
Rails, Roads,
Waterways, Ports,
Airports
Environment
Maintenance services



TERTIARY

Electricity
Communications &
Networks Integration
Data Centers
Security
Distribution
Maintenance Services




OIL, GAS & POWER

E&I
P&M
Onshore & Offshore
Power Plants
Tanks
Bending & Prefab
Maintenance Services


... 2013 key figures

4



Turnover 894 MEUR

- Belgian Operations 88%
- International Operations 12%



Workforce 5160

- Belgian Operations 4558
- International Operations 352
- Central Services 250

Background

5

- 2002 – 2009: 3 major re-organisations



- lot of people movements
- loss of maturity
- “troubled” projects

Background

6


- How to define a project management training program?
 - Launch of a working group



- Analysing project portfolio

Project landscape

7



Project landscape



8

	Portfolio	Small / Medium Sized Projects	Large / Complex Projects
Avg. Nrs. Of Projects / Year	500	450	50
	100%	90%	10%
Contribution to Turn Over	100%	25%	75%

		Small & Medium Sized Projects
		Large & Complex Projects

ION Training Program

9

Training Program		
Type	Small / Medium Projects National Alignment	Large / Complex Projects International Alignment
Reference	Basic P.M. 6 Days / 2 Modules	Advanced P.M. 11 Days / 3 Modules
Language	Dutch / French	English
EVM Related	Basic EVM	Advanced EVM
ION Certificates	M1 Hard Skills: 465 M2 Soft Skills: 499	M1 PM Awareness: 121 M2 Triple Constraint: 270 M3 Advanced PM: 252

EVM Roadmap

10

- Step 1: Introducing EVM Principles

Focus on cost control

Status: implemented

- Step 2: Current Developments

Focus on time control / Earned Schedule


Status: being implemented on selected large / complex projects

Advanced applications: p-factor

Status: ad hoc applications

Step 1: Cost Focus - Implemented

11



Extract ETC procedure

12

3.8 INGAVE ETC (ESTIMATE TO COMPLETE).



Op geregelde tijdstippen moet de Project Manager een inschatting maken van de kosten en opbrengsten (nog te factureren) die nog nodig zijn om het project af te werken. Deze inschattingen noemt men de ETC waarden (Estimate to Complete).

Het is heel belangrijk dat de Project Manager zo juist mogelijke schattingen geeft van de ETC waarden, omdat deze een rechtstreekse invloed hebben op het resultaat. Uitgaande van de FES waarden wordt namelijk per project het resultaat berekend dat rechtstreeks in de Profit & Loss rekeningen wordt opgenomen. Daarom worden bij elke ETC oefening de resultaten besproken door de Business Controller, de Project Manager en zijn operationele hiërarchie.

- P.M. provides on a regular way a ETC
- ETC has to be:
 - “As good as possible” (direct influence on Profit / loss reports)
 - Periodically discussed with B.C. / P.M. / Steering Committee

How we implement this

13

						
		P.M.	B.C.	P.M.	B.C.	Director
Input Status	EV	% Compl		X		
	PV	X		X		
	AC		X	X	X	
	ETC	X		X		
Performance	xPI		X	X	X	
Forecast	EAC		X	X	X	
	Evaluate	X	X	X	X	X
Review		Bi Monthly		Monthly		

Based on D.O.D. evaluation rules

14

- Once a contract is more than 15-20% complete, the final overrun will be worse than the present overrun (Christensen/Wilson 1992)

$$CV_{\text{final}} - CV_{20\%} < 0$$

- Once a contract is over 20% complete, the CPI does not change by more than 10%, and in most cases it worsens (Christensen/Heise 1993)

$$| CPI_{\text{final}} - CPI_{20\%} | * 0,10$$

- Calculate a range of outcomes:

CPI-based EAC is a floor to final cost (Christensen, 1996)

SCI-based EAC is often the most accurate estimate

(Fleming & Koppelman 2000, Humphreys & Associates 2002)

$$EAC_{\text{cpi}} < EAC < EAC_{\text{SCI}}$$

Our experiences

15

- Once a contract is more than 15-20% complete, the final overrun will be worse than the present overrun (Christensen/Wilson 1992)



Give attention to detailed planning in early stages
Strict planning / control in the early stages is needed

- Once a contract is over 20% complete, the CPI does not change by more than 10%, and in most cases it worsens (Christensen/Heise 1993)



CPI shows more variability during early & middle stage
Compare TCPI & CPI

- Calculate a range of outcomes:



CPI - EAC is a lower bound, SPI - EAC is an upper bound
For critical projects, a cost simulation exercise is done

Real life application – status month 5

16

PROJECT INFO @ M5			
Budget at Completion	BAC		2.875
Actual Cost	AC	from B.C.	1.562
Progress	% Compl	from PM	51%
Estimate to Complete	ETC	from PM	1.458
STATUS			
EV		EV = %Compl x BAC	1.466
% SPENT		% Spent = AC / BAC	54%
PERFORMANCE			
Cost Variance	CV	CV = EV - AC	-96
Cost Performance Index	CPI	CPI = EV / AC	0,94
FORECAST			
Estimate at Completion	EAC	EAC = AC + ETC	3.020

To be analysed

Real life application – evaluation month 5

17

FORECAST EVALUATION @ M5

Iteration 1: EAC = 3.020 (given by PM)

Rule 1: $VAC > CV$	overrun increases from 96 --> 145	ok
Rule 2: $TCPI < CPI$	TCPI = 0,97 > $CPI = 0,94$	not ok
Rule 3: $EAC_{cpi} < EAC_{pm} < EAC_{sci}$	3.020 < 3.063 < 3.440	not ok

Iteration 2: EAC = 3.150 (done by B.C.) (TFA = Total Funds Available = BAC + MR)


Rule 1: $VAC > CV$	overrun increases from 96 --> 275	ok
Rule 2: $TCPI < CPI$	TCPI = 0,89 < $CPI = 0,94$	ok but...
Rule 3: $EAC_{cpi} < EAC_{pm} < EAC_{sci}$	3.063 < 3.150 < 3.440	ok

Iteration 3: EAC = 3.200 (decided during review)

Rule 1: $VAC > CV$	overrun increases from 96 --> 325	ok
Rule 2: $TCPI < CPI$	TCPI = 0,86 < $CPI = 0,94$	ok
Rule 3: $EAC_{cpi} < EAC_{pm} < EAC_{sci}$	3.063 < 3.200 < 3.440	ok


Real life application

18



EVM application results

19




Findings

20

- ION trainings program increased project management maturity level
- Findings on project level:
 - ✦ Cost forecasts are more reliable and stable
 - ✦ Early visibility of potential cost overruns
 - ✦ P.M.'s tend to estimate too optimistic
 - ✦ A healthy baseline is needed, more effort needed during planning phase
- Findings on portfolio level:
 - ✦ Cashflow profile has been reversed into positive (over 3 years)

Step 2: Focus on Time - selected projects


21



Projects landscape -time

22

Projects 2006 --> 2009
N = 2024 (unadjusted data)



The need for ES


23

- Costs are better managed...and thus under control
- But project delays are becoming an issue
- Making a sound schedule is a difficult job
 - ✧ In most cases there is no dedicated “scheduler”
 - ✧ Updating schedules is very difficult
- So we are currently implementing Earned Schedule for large / complex projects

The need for ES


24

- Chapter 3
- Describes 3 real life cases
- Discusses the use of ES versus EV methods
- ES indicators / forecasts are reliable
- A healthy baseline is needed
- ES to be used in conjunction with a schedule



There is more with ES


25



For a claimed amount of EV at a status point AT, the amount of work which should be accomplished is identified by PV at ES

What really happens


26



It is more likely performance is not synchronous with the schedule, the EV has a different distribution


ES provides schedule information

(27)



Schedule adherence = p-factor

28



Schedule adherence (P-Factor):

$$\text{P-Factor} = (\text{EV according to plan}) / (\text{Total Claimed EV})$$

A measure how well the scheduling process is being followed

Value between 0 (out of sequence) and 1 (according to schedule)

Effective earned value

29

- **$EV = EV(p) + EV(r)$**

$$EV(p) = P \times EV$$

portion of EV according to plan

$$EV(r) = (1 - P) \times EV$$

portion of EV not to plan, at risk

high likely a source of rework

- **$EV(r) = EV(r1) + EV(r2)$**

$EV(r1)$ = usable portion of $EV(r)$


$EV(r2)$ = unusable portion of $EV(r)$

- **$EV(e) = \text{Effective Earned Value}$**

$$EV(e) = EV(p) + EV(r1)$$


$$= f(P, \% R) \times EV$$

$$= a \times EV$$



Effective earned value

30



Final notes

31

- EVM / ES:
 - Training / assistance is needed → requires an investment
 - R.O.I. :
 - ✦ More accurate and reliable cost / duration forecasts
 - ✦ Better cashflow management
 - Project orientated organisation → may be makes it easier
 - Give attention during bplanning phase: a sound baseline is needed
- ES Extensions:
 - Use of P-Factor → leads to more accurate / stable EAC predictions
 - Looks very promising

Thanks

32

QUESTIONS?